



"Environmental Benefits Through Lifecycle Assessment"

by Jörg H. Schäfer, Head of Recycling and Sustainability, GDA

March 19th 2015 at AMAP Colloquium, Aachen





Introduction of GDA - Organisation



Content

- Introduction
 - Sustainable Development
 - Life Cycle Assessment
 - Carbon Footprint
- LCAs for packaging applications
 - The role of recycling
 - Food Supply chain
- Environmental Product Declarations für Buildings & Constructions
- LCAs in automotive applications
- Conclusions









From technical considerations to a Sustainable Development – Example aluminium

~ 1880	~ 1970	~ 1992		
Engineering capacity at the forefront Environment and costs are subordinate	Oil crisis Aluminium turns into energy glutton and emissions producer	<u>Opportunity to</u> <u>position aluminium</u> <u>above sustainability</u>		



This is the political context in society in which we find ourselves today. Environmental questions must not be neglected.





Sustainable development means...



The three components of sustainability are equally important

It's NOT about maximizing only one of the components.

The aim is to achieve an optimum situation.

Sustainable development as optimum of all three components





Illustration: sustainability as stool on three legs





Sustainable development which neglects one of the components doesn't work

www.aluinfo.de





Everyone's talking about sustainability – what does it mean?



Federal Chancellor Dr. Angela Merkel "Sustainability is based on a clear assumption: In order to ensure that future generations have development opportunities, we need to think of <u>economic competitiveness</u>, <u>social responsibility and the conservation</u> <u>of nature together</u>. What we do or don't do today must not be allowed to take away from the opportunities of our children and grandchildren to live a life of prosperity in an intact environment."



Ensuring development potential for future generations





Sustainable development in the German constitution

In Germany the principle of sustainability was anchored in article 20a in the constitution in 1994 as state objective.

Article 20a:

As responsibility for future generations the state will conserve nature ... in the framework of the constitutional order by legislation and according to the law....





A social market economy is replaced by a sustainable market economy.



SD implemented as an overarching societal objective





Beginning 90th: Standardization of Life Cycle Assessment





Today: LCA is the only tool to assess environmental performance of products





The Importance of LCAs for AI

Consideration of the entire life cycle:

aluminium offers e.g.

- high energy demand for - electrolysis
- relative energy savings for
 - transport
 - recycling





Consideration of the entire life cycle is essential for aluminum to get a balanced picture.

www.aluinfo.de





Sustainability and life cycle assessment as basis for making an evaluation...



... of our industry and our products





Other approaches / Concepts: The Carbon Footprint

The Aluminium Industry

is dedicated to a Sustainable Development.

uses LCAs to optimize and evaluate the environmental performance of its products.

The Carbon Footprint is a single indicator in an LCA and does not refelct other environmental aspects than Climate Change



The Carbon Footprint is a single indicator and one part of an LCA. This has to be reflected in the sustainability debate and environmental discussions.





Environmental indicators in an LCA



If only the Carbon Footprint is investigated no fields of tension are visible or if information is lacking

www.aluinfo.de





What happens if other indicators are considered ?

Example of a car



Significance of the use phase differs tremendously! The CF alone can not highlight the tensions.

www.aluinfo.de

Dr. Gerald Rebitzer, Alcan, Data from [USCAR AMP Project 1998] (generic US family sedan)





What about the building sector – LCA of roofing materials

Global Warming Potential in kg - GWP Equivalent







Other Indicators than Global Warming Potential







LCA in the packaging area – beverage cans







LCA for beverage containers – UBA III



www.aluinfo.de





The recycling approach of UBA



So far, UBA preferred the 50/50% allocation approach





The effects of the choice of the recycling approach



50%/50%:

Gives credits for the use of recycled metal and end-of-life recycling equally shared

0%/100%: Gives credits exclusively

for end-of-life recycling







Why is 100% allocation for aluminium plausible

The 0/100 approach creates a far greater incentive to recycle aluminum scrap.

Recycled aluminum is always the maximum replacement for primary aluminum, which is reflected in the 0/100 method.

A product that absorbs large amounts of recycling aluminum, but does not itself emit any aluminum recycling, contributes to a situation, whereby aluminum is no longer available to future generations.

Due to the circumstances of the aluminum markets, increasing the recycling metal content (RMC) in a product would only shift the unavoidable use of primary aluminum to other products. The ecological consequences on the system of the total aluminum market (aluminum pool) would not change overall.

Due to the fact that aluminum demand is continuing to grow and metal products frequently have a very long service life, the scrap supply is limited for the production of new products.



Strong arguments in favour of end-of-life recycling





Global Warming Potential 0,5 I beverage can



Higher collection rate means better performance for AI beverage can





Carbon Footprint – break down for a 0,5 liter can: 60% recycling rate







Carbon Footprint of 500 liter beer supply ready for consumption in 0,5 liter cans*







How to consider sustainability of packaging ? economy Consideration of life cycle of packaging life cycle of food supply role of the consumer consumer social environment aspects



Evaluation of sustainability performance needs more than just looking into packaging





Supply chain of packaging









Example Coffee: GHG-Emissions







Conclusions – Coffee

CO₂ contribution of the packaging in the food supply system is relatively low

Production of coffee (due to high processing) has a relatively high share



A small investment in packaging saves already a large amount of resources used in the supply chain before consumption





Conclusions – Coffee (2)

Portion packed (stick pack) has a relatively higher share compared to family packs.

However, they may contribute to the prevention of wastage and spoilage, thus even saving "overall" resources.



"Best" packaging depends on the specific consumption pattern and application





WHAT IS ENVIRONMENTALLY SPEAKING BETTER: REFILLABLE GLAS OR ALUMINIUM BEVERAGE CAN ?

HAVE A GUESS !

Ŝ





GERMAN EPA*: LCA of drinks packaging II, 1 – results (0,33I systems, CO_2 containing drinks)







GERMAN EPA*: LCA of drinks packaging II, 1 – results (0,33I systems, CO2 containing drinks)







WHAT IS ENVIRONMENTALLY SPEAKING BETTER: REFILLABLE GLAS OR ALUMINIUM BEVERAGE CAN ?

DIFFICULT TO JUDGE !







The role of EPDs (Environmental Product Declarations)





EPD are a information system to supply harmonized environmental information





The GDA EPD Programme for Building & Construction





www.aluinfo.de





LCA: Results

Content of an EPD

Pr	oduct sta	stage Construction process stage					Use stage				End-of-life stage				Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary	
Raw material supply	Transport	Production	Transport	Assembly	Use / Application	Maintenance	Repairs	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction	Transport	Waste treatment	Landfilling	Re-use, recovery or recycling potential
A1	A2	A 3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Х	Х	Х	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	X
CA RESULTS – ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: 1m ²																
Parameter						Unit			A1 - A3	•	D					
Global Warming Potential					[k	g CO₂equ	uiv.] 3.7E+1				-2.4E+1					
Ozone Depletion Potential					[kg CFC1 equiv.]	8.1E-7				-7.4E-7						
Acidification Potential					[k	gSO₂equ	2 equiv.] 1.7E-1				-1.4			54		
Eutrification Potential					[kg	(PO ₄) ³ equiv.] 1.0E-2			-7.1			-3				
Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential					[kg	kg ethene equiv.] 1.2E-2			-7.9			-7.9E	-3			
Abiotic Depletion Potential non-Fossil Resources						ig Sbequi	uiv.] 2.1E-5				-1.3E-5					
Abiotic Depletion Potential Fossil Fuels					[MJ]			5.5E+2				-2.66	:+2			
.CA	RESU	LIS-	USE	OF RE	SOUR	CES:	1 m ²									
Parameter						Unit	A1 - A3				D					
Renewable primary energy as energy carrier						[MJ]	1.4E+2				-1.3E+2					
Renewable primary energy as material utilisation						[MJ]	0.0E+0				0.0E+0			0		
Total use of renewable primary energy sources						[MJ]	1.4E+2						-2			
Non-renewable primary energy as energy carrier					[MJ]	6.0E+2				-3.0E+2			-2			
Non-renewable primary energy as material utilisation					[MJ]	2.0E+1				0.0E-			0			
Total use of non-renewable primary energy sources				[MJ]	6.2E+2						2					
Use of secondary materials					[kg]	0.0E+0							2			
Kenewable secondary fuels						1.8E-2				-1.6E-2						
Net use of fresh water						[m ³]	1./E-1 -1.4E-1 4.0E-1 -3.7E-1			1						

SYSTEM BOUNDARIES (X = INCLUDED IN THE LCA: MND = MODULE NOT DECLARED)





Ressource efficiency in buildings

Energy demand "System Administration Building" (50 years, 4400m²)



www.aluinfo.de





Environmental performance of cars



Quelle: Auto-Legenden

Farman A6B Super Sport, 1919

www.aluinfo.de





Background

- 19% auf greenhouse gas emissions are dedicated to transport.
- It seems to be evident that transport activities even increase (China, India and Middle East).
- Reduction of fuel demand of cars gains importance.
- Weight reduction of cars is part of the solution.



Aluminium as part of the solution





Driving performance of transport



Driving performance in Mio km





CO2-Reduction potetial related to 100 kg weight reduction



CO2 Reduction potential [t/100 kg]



GESAMTVERBAND DER ALUMINIUMINDUSTRIE e.V.

Use phase transport







Products in cars: bumper

Our.

 Weight: Consumption: Performance: 	1.100 – 1.200 kg 6 L/ 100 km 200.000 km
Weight Aluminium:	3,2 kg
Weight HS Steel:	5,8 kg
Weight reduction:	45%
Fuel reduction:	0,36 L / (100 km 100 kg



48 kg CO2 reduction in comparison with steel

Production of Al part: 36 kg CO2





Products in cars: front hood

Car:

Weight:	2.000 – 2.100 kg			
Consumption:	11 L/ 100 km			
Performance:	200.000 km			
Weight Aluminium:	10,1 kg			
Weight HS Steel:	17,5 kg			
Weight reduction:	43%			

Fuel reduction: ~ 0,30 L / (100 km 100 kg)



130 kg CO2 reduction in comparison with steel

Production of AI part: 120 kg CO2





Products in cars: Body-in-White

Car:

Weight:	1.700 kg
Consumption:	10,2 L/ 100 km
Performance:	200.000 km
Weight Aluminium:	295 kg
Weight HS Steel:	475 kg
Weight reduction:	45%
Fuel reduction:	~ 0,30 L / (100 km 100 kg)



4.300 kg CO2 reduction in comparison with steel

Production of AI part: 3.830 kg CO2





Aluminium in cars (Western Europe)*



*aoschätzt

*estimated







ür Umwelt, Naturschut Ind Reaktorsicherheit







Conclusions

Sustainable Development is an overarching societal objective.

Environment is only one dimension besides the economical and societal aspects in SD.

LCA is a useful tool which is internationally accepted. Nevertheless, it covers only parts of the environmental dimension of SD.

The Carbon Footprint is a single indicator and does not reflect the environment but climate change.

Questions such as to what environmental costs the Carbon Dioxide is reduced cannot be answered.





Conclusions

Recycling is decicive for aluminium applications in order to achieve a good environmental performance.

LCA do include recycling.

As higher the recycling rate as better is the environmental performance of aluminium products.

Besides the CF it is necessary to reflect other environmental indicators.

In transport applications aluminium can score better due to its light weight.





Conclusions

EPDs deliver environmental information. They are not tailored for evaluations.

An environmental assessment should be done on base of a real building.

A better understanding can be achieved if the food supply system is investigated.



The use of LCAs should be encouraged